

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/779,116	BROADHURST ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626	

All Participants:
Status of Application: 71

 (1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

(3) _____.

 (2) Eileen Ebel (Reg. No. 37,316).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 July 2004
Time: 11:02am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

35-37, 43, 45, 47 and 51

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Applicants' representative for permission to: (1) remove period after the definition of R(10) in claim 35; (2) remove the second period after the claim number in claims 36 and 45; (3) replace "claims 36" with "claim 36"; (4) add "and" before the last compounds listed in claims 39, 43, 47 and 51; and (5) add a period at the end of claims 39, 43, 47 and 51 and remove the period after the nomenclature of the last compound listed in these claims. *SSS*

7/9/04